Case 2:12-cv-07238-MMB. Document 1. Filed 12/28/12 Page 1 of 18

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provide by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiatin; the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(0	······································				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS JORDAN, CHARLETTE		DEFENDANTS CITY OF PHILA			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kent (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) LAW OFFICES OF PATRICK G. GECKLE, LLC, 1845 Walnut Street		NOTE: IN LANE LANE Attorneys (If Known)	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) ND CONDEMNATION CASES, US D INVOLVED.	,	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	19103 - 215-735-3326 DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF I	PDINCIPAL PARTIES	(Plane at "V" : O - D - C - Plane co	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		2		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T	Foreign Country	J J Totolgh Nation		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	RY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure 625 Drug Related Seizure 626 Drug Related Seizure 626 Drug Related Seizure 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airtine Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 740 Railway Labor Act 750 Other Labor Litigation 751 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus -	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from Appellate Court Appellate Court Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 5 Transferred from another district (specify) Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 42 U.S.C. \$1983 Brief description of cause: Plaintiffs were denied their constitutional and statute		nal statutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			OO.OO CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 12/28/2012	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Geckle, Esquire			
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE_	MAG. JUE	OGE	

Case 2:12-cv-07238-MMB Document 1 Filed 12/28/12 Page 2 of 18

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA -- DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 426 Greens Branch Lane, Smyra, DE 19977 Address of Defendant: 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, One Parkway Bldg., Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction at or near 3343 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19132 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) No.KI Yes 🗆 No KI Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Judge Date Terminated: Case Number: _ Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No No 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No X 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. TFELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4.

Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. A Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability — Asbestos 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. All other Federal Ouestion Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Patrrick G. Geckle, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: <u>12/28/2</u>012 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. DATE: 12/28/2012

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		
2 <u>15-735-</u> 3326	215-735-4712	pgeckle@pgglaw.com		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for Plaintiff		
12/28/2012	Patrick G. Geckle	Charlette Jordan		
(f) Standard Managemen	at – Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	(X)	
commonly referred to		tracks (a) through (d) that are secial or intense management by iled explanation of special	()	
(d) Asbestos – Cases investos exposure to asbestos.		jury or property damage from	()	
(c) Arbitration - Cases r	equired to be designated for a	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2	. ()	
	ses requesting review of a dedenying plaintiff Social Section	ecision of the Secretary of Health urity Benefits	()	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.				
SELECT ONE OF TH	E FOLLOWING CASE MA	NAGEMENT TRACKS:		
In accordance with the plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and side of this form.) In the designation, that defends the plaintiff and all other	Civil Justice Expense and Description Civil Justice Expense and Description Civil Description Control of the Event that a defendant do ant shall, with its first appears	elay Reduction Plan of this court, coursignation Form in all civil cases at the . (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the es not agree with the plaintiff regardiance, submit to the clerk of court and strack designation form specifying the	time of reverse ng said erve on	
V CITY OF PHILADELP	· : : HTA etal	NO.		
CHARLETTE JORDAN		CIVIL ACTION		
CHARLETTE IODDAN		CIVII ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHARLETTE JORDAN, a minor, by her guardian, Darlene Jordan 426 Greens Branch Lane Smyra, DE 19977	: : :
and JAZZ WILLIAMS, a minor, by his guardian, Darlene Jordan	: Civil Action No.
426 Greens Branch Lane Smyra, DE 19977 and	: :
PERRY GOODE, a minor, by his	: JURY TRIAL DEMAND
parent and natural guardian,	:
Darlene Jordan	•
426 Greens Branch Lane	:
Smyra, DE 19977 and	:
JAINE JORDAN	•
3343 Ridge Avenue	:
Philadelphia, PA 19132	:
and	:
FRANK JORDAN	:
3343 Ridge Avenue	:
Philadelphia, PA 19132	:
CHERIE UPSEY	•
426 Greens Branch Lane	•
Smyrna DE 19977	•
	• •
vs.	· :
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA	: :
c/o City of Philadelphia Law Department	:
One Parkway Building, 14th Floor	:
1515 Arch Street	;
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595 and	: :
POLICE OFFICER ANTHONY SOLIMAN	:
BADGE NUMBER 9885	:
Individually and in his official	:
capacity as a police officer for the	:
City of Philadelphia	:
c/o City of Philadelphia Law Department	:
One Parkway Building, 14th Floor 1515 Arch Street	:
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595	:
and	•
	•

```
POLICE OFFICER RAYMOND KUEMMERLE
BADGE NUMBER 2466
Individually and in his official
capacity as a police officer for the
City of Philadelphia
c/o City of Philadelphia Law Department
One Parkway Building, 14th Floor
1515 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595
      and
POLICE OFFICER ORTIZ
BADGE NUMBER 3089
Individually and in his official
capacity as a police officer for the
City of Philadelphia
c/o City of Philadelphia Law Department :
One Parkway Building, 14th Floor
1515 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595
     and
POLICE OFFICER PINTO
BADGE NUMBER 3204
Individually and in his official
capacity as a police officer for the
City of Philadelphia
c/o City of Philadelphia Law Department
One Parkway Building, 14th Floor
1515 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595
     and
POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOES 1 - 5
Individually and in their official
capacity as a police officer for the
City of Philadelphia
c/o City of Philadelphia Law Department :
One Parkway Building, 14th Floor
1515 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595
                                       : Attorney ID # 26718
```

COMPLAINT

Jurisdiction

This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

Jurisdiction is based upon 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343 (1),(3),(4) and the aforementioned statutory provision. Plaintiff further invokes the supplemental jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a) to hear and adjudicate state law claims.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff, Charlette Jordan, a minor, by her guardian,
 Darlene Jordan, resides at 426 Greens Branch Lane, Smyra,
 Delaware 19977 with Darlene Jordan, and at all times relevant to
 this action was present in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 3. Plaintiff, Jazz Williams, a minor, by his guardian,
 Darlene Jordan, resides at 426 Greens Branch Lane, Smyra,
 Delaware 19977 with Darlene Jordan, and at all times relevant to
 this action was present in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 4. Plaintiff, Perry Goode, minor, by his guardian, Darlene Jordan, resides at 426 Greens Branch Lane, Smyra, Delaware 19977 with Darlene Jordan, and at all times relevant to this action was present in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 5. Plaintiff, Jaine Jordan, is an adult individual who resides at 3343 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19132, and at all times relevant to this action was present in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 6. Plaintiff, Frank Jordan, is an adult individual who resides at 3343 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19132, and at all times relevant to this action was present in

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

- 7. Plaintiff, Cherie Upsey, is an adult individual who resides at 426 Greens Branch Lane, Smyra, Delaware 19977, and at all times relevant to this action was present in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 8. Defendant, City of Philadelphia, is a municipality of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and owns, operates, manages, directs and controls the Philadelphia Police Department which employs Defendants, Police Officers John Does 1 through 5.
- 9. Defendant, Police Officer Anthony Soliman, Badge Number 9885, is a police officer for the Philadelphia Police Department acting under color of state law. He is being sued in his individual and official capacity.
- 10. Defendant, Police Officer Raymond Kuemmerle, Badge
 Number 2466, is a police officer for the Philadelphia Police
 Department acting under color of state law. He is being sued in his individual and official capacity.
- 11. Defendant, Police Officer Ortiz, Badge Number 3089, is a police officer for the Philadelphia Police Department acting under color of state law. He is being sued in his individual and official capacity.
- 12. Defendant, Police Officer Pinto, Badge Number 3204, is a police officer for the Philadelphia Police Department acting under color of state law. He is being sued in his individual and

official capacity.

- 13. The names of Defendant police officers John Does 1 through 5 are currently unknown to Plaintiff, but at all times relevant to this Complaint, were and/or are employed as police officers for the City of Philadelphia Police Department, acting under color of state law, pursuant either to official policy, custom or practice of the City of Philadelphia. These Defendants are being sued in both their individual and official capacities.
- 14. At all relevant times, all Defendants were acting in concert and conspiracy and their actions deprived Plaintiffs of their constitutional and statutory rights as hereinafter described.

Factual Allegations

- 15. On December 31, 2010 all of the above named Plaintiffs were gathered at the home of Jaine Jordan located at 3343 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. They were all gathered to mourn a death in the family.
- 16. At approximately 8:45 p.m. minor Plaintiff Charlette
 Jordan, minor Plaintiff Jazz Williams, and minor Plaintiff Perry
 Goode all decided to take a walk down Ridge Avenue.
- 17. As minor Plaintiffs Charlette Jordan, Jazz Williams, and Perry Goode were walking down Ridge Avenue, they observed an automobile slowly drive by them, make a U-turn, and then

reapproach them and stop.

- 18. Inside that vehicle were four unknown men who never identified themselves as Philadelphia police officers.
- 19. Upon seeing the vehicle with four men stop close by them, minor Plaintiffs Charlette Jordan, Jazz Williams, and Perry Goode, in fear of these unknown men began to move away from that vehicle. More specifically, Charlette Jordan began to run back to her grandmother's house at 3343 Ridge Avenue; minor Plaintiff Perry Goode began to run in the other direction and minor Plaintiff Jazz Williams just stood there.
- 20. The four unknown males then exited the vehicle. Unknown to any of the Plaintiffs, the four male individuals who exited the vehicle were Philadelphia police officers. None of the four men, at any time, identified themselves as Philadelphia police officers. Upon information and belief, the four men who exited the vehicle were Defendant Police Officers Soliman, Kuemmerle, Ortiz, and Pinto.
- 21. After exiting the vehicle, one of the four males, either Defendant Officer Pinto or Defendant Officer Ortiz, ordered Plaintiff Jazz Williams to "come here." Plaintiff Jazz Williams responded by asking "Who are you?"
- 22. Immediately after exiting the vehicle, either Defendant Police Officer Ortiz or Defendant Police Officer Pinto drew his taser and, without any legal cause or justification or warning,

deployed his taser in probe mode at minor Plaintiff Jazz Williams, with both probes striking minor Plaintiff Jazz Williams causing him excruciating pain and further causing him to fall to the ground.

- 23. After deploying his taser, either Defendant Police Officer Pinto or Ortiz walked over to Plaintiff Jazz Williams, removed the probes from the body of Jazz Williams, handcuffed him, and then asked Plaintiff Jazz Williams "Why did the others run?" Jazz Williams responded "Because they didn't know who you were." At this point, Defendant Officers Ortiz and Pinto, without any probable cause or any other legal justification, placed Jazz Williams in handcuffs, searched him, walked him up the street and placed him in a police wagon.
- 24. Meanwhile, while the above was going on, Plaintiff Perry Goode had ran down Ridge Avenue to Hagert Street. While he was running, Defendant Police Officer Soliman ordered him to stop and get on the ground. Plaintiff Perry Goode complied with those orders. While he was on the ground, Plaintiff Perry Goode was approached by Defendant Police Officer Soliman and was told by him "If you move, I will kick you in the balls and if you get up, I will shoot you."
- 25. At no time did Plaintiff Perry Goode do anything which gave any of the Defendant police officers probable cause to stop him nor did he do anything which would give them reasonable

suspicion to detain him nor did he at anytime relevant hereto do anything which could have been considered a criminal act nor an act which would have been threatening to the officers or to anyone else.

- 26. Plaintiff Perry Goode was then handcuffed, searched, picked up and thrown violently on top of the unmarked police vehicle. While this was going on Plaintiff Perry Goode complained that he had not done anything wrong to which Defendant Police Officer Soliman replied "Shut the f_ _ up." Plaintiff Perry Goode was then placed handcuffed into a police car.
- 27. Plaintiff Perry Goode was forced to sit handcuffed in the police car for approximately 15 minutes at which time he was removed from the police car, unhandcuffed, and was released. No criminal charges were filed against Plaintiff Perry Goode.
- 28. Meanwhile, Plaintiff Jazz Williams was also forced to sit in the police wagon for approximately 15 minutes at which time he was removed from the wagon, his handcuffs were taken off, and he was released without being charged with any criminal activity.
- 29. As mentioned above, when the four unknown males approached the minor Plaintiffs Perry Goode, Jazz Williams, and Charlette Jordan, Charlette Jordan began to proceed back to her grandmother's house at 3343 Ridge Avenue. She was able to get into the home and shut the door. She told Plaintiff Cherie Upsey

that men were chasing her, that she was extremely fearful for her well-being, and asked Plaintiff Cherie Upsey to lock the door. Shortly after minor Plaintiff Charlette Jordan entered the home as aforementioned, there was a loud boom on the door. Defendant Police Officer Kuemmerle began to scream "I want the girl." Plaintiff Frank Jordan went to the door, opened the door, and asked Defendant Police Officer Kuemmerle what he wanted. At this point, Defendant Police Officer Kuemmerle forced his way into the home repeating "I want the girl."

- 30. Defendant Police Officer Kuemmerle entered the home of Plaintiffs Jaine and Frank Jordan without a warrant, and without probable cause to believe that anyone inside had committed or was committing a crime, and there was no legal justification for his entry into the home.
- 31. Upon hearing the boom at the front door as aforementioned, Plaintiff Charlette Jordan retreated into the basement of the home and went into the bedroom of her uncle Plaintiff Frank Jordan, locking the door. At this point, Plaintiff Charlette Jordan, only 14 years old at the time, was in fear for her well-being not knowing that the man who was trying to force his way into the home was a Philadelphia police officer. For that reason, minor Plaintiff Charlette Jordan locked Frank Jordan's bedroom door and hid under the bed.
 - 32. After illegally entering the home of Plaintiff Jaine

Jordan and Frank Jordan, Defendant Police Officer Kuemmerle ran down the steps towards the room where Plaintiff Charlette Jordan was hiding. Defendant Police Officer Kuemmerle then began banging on the bedroom door of Frank Jordan screaming "come out, come out or I'll shoot." At this point, Plaintiff Cherie Upsey, fearful for her life and the lives of everyone in the house, called 911.

- 33. At this point, Defendant Police Officers John Does 1 through 5 entered the home of Frank and Jaine Jordan, with service weapons drawn. They pointed those weapons directly at Plaintiffs Frank Jordan and Cherie Upsey.
- 34. Defendant Police Officers Kuemmerle and John Does 1 through 5 then proceeded to break down the door to Frank Jordan's bedroom, knocking the door off its hinges.
- 35. After breaking down the door to Frank Jordan's bedroom, Defendant Police Officers Kuemmerle and John Does 1 through 5, without probable cause or legal justification, grabbed minor Plaintiff Charlette Jordan by the hair and pulled her out from underneath the bed. Defendant Police Officers Kuemmerle and John Does 1 through 5 all had drawn their service weapons and had the same pointed directly at minor Plaintiff Charlette Jordan. Minor Plaintiff Charlette Jordan was screaming "please don't shoot me." She was grabbed by her hair and pulled out of the room.

feeling all around her breast area. Plaintiff Charlette was then handcuffed. After approximately 15 minutes, one of the Defendant police officers removed Plaintiff Charlette Jordan's handcuffs and she was never charged with any criminal offense.

- 36. At no time did any of the Defendant police officers have any reason to suspect that any of the Plaintiffs had committed any crime nor did any of the Plaintiffs at any time do anything which could be considered behavior which was threatening to any of the Defendant police officers or to anyone else.
- 37. At no time did any of the Defendant police officers have any legal justification for entering the home of Plaintiff Frank Jordan and Plaintiff Jaine Jordan.
- 38. Defendants engaged in the aforesaid conduct for the purpose of violating Plaintiffs constitutional rights by subjecting them to unreasonable force, the excessive use of force, unreasonable search and seizure, assault and battery, false imprisonment, false arrest, and intentional infliction of emotional distress.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

- 39. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through38 of the instant Complaint.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of all Defendants' conduct, committed under color of state law, Plaintiffs were

deprived of their right to be free from unreasonable and excessive force, an unreasonable search and seizure, assault and battery, false imprisonment, false arrest, and infliction of emotional distress. As a result, Plaintiffs suffered and continues to suffer harm in violation of their rights under the laws and Constitution of the United States, in particular, the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments thereof, and 42 U.S.C. §1983.

- 41. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of all Defendants, Plaintiffs sustained physical injuries, emotional harm, loss of liberty and financial losses, all to their detriment and harm.
- 42. Defendant City of Philadelphia has encouraged, tolerated, ratified and has been deliberately indifferent to the following patterns, practices and customs and to the need for more or different training, supervision, investigation or discipline in the areas of:
 - a. The use of unreasonable force, excessive force, unreasonable search and seizure, infliction of emotional distress, and assault and battery, false imprisonment and false arrest by police officers;
 - b. The proper exercise of police powers, including but not limited to the unreasonable use of force, the excessive use of force,

unreasonable search and seizure, infliction of emotional distress, assault and battery, false imprisonment and false arrest and violations of citizens' free speech rights, particularly in connection with perceived challenges to police authority;

- c. The monitoring of officers whom it knew or should have known were suffering from emotional and/or psychological problems that impaired their ability to function as officers;
- d. The failure to identify and take remedial or disciplinary action against police officers who were the subject of prior civilian or internal complaints of misconduct;
- e. Police officers' use of their status as police officers to employ the use of excessive force, unreasonable search and seizure, intentionally infliction of emotional distress, assault and battery, false imprisonment, false arrest, or to achieve ends not reasonably related to their police duties; and
- f. The failure of police officers to follow established policies, procedures, directives

and instructions regarding the use of force and arrest powers under such circumstances as presented herein.

- 43. The City of Philadelphia failed to properly sanction or discipline officers, who are aware of and conceal and/or aid and abet violations of constitutional rights of citizens by other Philadelphia Police Officers, thereby causing and encouraging Philadelphia police, including the Defendant officers in this case, to violate the rights of citizens such as Plaintiffs.
- 44. Defendants have by the above described actions deprived Plaintiffs of rights secured by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION SUPPLEMENTAL STATE CLAIMS

- 45. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of the instant Complaint.
- 46. The acts and conduct of the individual Defendants in this cause of action constitute assault, battery, false imprisonment, false arrest, and intentional infliction of emotional distress under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and this Court has supplemental jurisdiction to hear and adjudicate this claims.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request this Honorable

Court enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the Defendants and to award Plaintiffs the following relief:

- a. Compensatory damages as to all Defendants;
- b. Plaintiffs' attorney's fees, expert witness fees and all other costs of suit;
- c. Such other relief as the Court deems appropriate;
- d. A jury trial as to each Defendant and as to each count.

PATRICK G. GECKLE, LLC

By:

Patrick G. Geckle, Attorney I.D. No.: 26718 PATRICK G. GECKLE, LLC 1845 Walnut St., Ste. 2300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 735-3326 - phone

Counsel for Plaintiffs